

Subject: : Conservation

Topic: : What our forests looked like...

Re: What our forests looked like...

Author: : pcray1231

Date: : 2013/11/18 11:00:44

URL:

Troutbert was 100% correct in his questioning of the article.

This is why the public misunderstands science. That journalist, in an attempt to grab viewers with a "wow-factor" headline, extremely over-extrapolated the real science.

The result is an incorrectly communicated conclusion, with the facade of "science" backing it up, which discourages many from even questioning it.

There's nothing whatsoever wrong with the study. It was a good one, and it drew valid conclusions, for that place and time. But it's 1 study. A few hundred more of them around the state and PERHAPS you can begin to paint a broader picture of what forests were like.