

Subject: : Paflyfish General Forum

Topic: : Creel limit changes: typically not the effect that you might expect

Re: Creel limit changes: typically not the effect that you might expect

Author: : Maurice

Date: : 2013/9/7 8:11:56

URL:

Quote:

Chaz wrote:

So why has this come up? Does PFBC anticipate reducing the limit yet again? I don't see any reason to reduce limits on stocked streams any further than they have been on stocked water.

**Certainly a person or biologist could argue that reducing the limits on wild streams would increase the number of wild fish, and as reported at the "Summit" it did, Mike also presented that information.**

The highest impact was on the brook populations. And removing brookie streams from the stocking list really improved the biomass of those streams.

Chaz, IIRC the results were that when harvest was halted on like 13 streams, pops went up on 6 down on 5 and stayed the same on two so it was valued at no statistical significance. You know throwing the baby out with the bathwater.

Again, I think the point of Mike's post is to stick it to the anglers who believe harvest makes a difference. It's his MO.