

Subject: : Paflyfish General Forum

Topic: : Creel limit changes: typically not the effect that you might expect

Re: Creel limit changes: typically not the effect that you might expect

Author: : k-bob

Date: : 2013/9/7 6:57:25

URL:

mike wrote: "just as was stated beforehand by the PFBC biologists there was no biological basis for the 8 to 5 reduction."

I appreciate it when mike gives a biologists' perspective on things like harvest limits and whether there are few big PA freestone brookies due to cropping (whole WBTEP story). I like user generated content as much as the next guy, but we get plenty of fisherman perspectives here. imho, we as fishermen simply don't have the info to understand regulation effects in comparison to experienced biologists - how could we?. So when mike's biologist view doesn't line up with anglers' view, I listen to the biologist :)

MY all-time favorite paff post was from mike -- on the reason for creating WBTEP (few years back): "It wasn't biologists who "perceived" that there was a harvest problem; it was a subset of anglers."

Nothing wrong with seeking to restore brook trout, mountain lion, and hemlock biomass back to their levels before euro settlement, tho :)