

Subject: : Paflyfish General Forum

Topic: : Creel limit changes: typically not the effect that you might expect

Re: Creel limit changes: typically not the effect that you might expect

Author: : FarmerDave

Date: : 2013/9/5 14:15:25

URL:

I wouldn't have a problem with lowering creel limits on unstocked streams to 3, but it likely would have little to no effect on harvest of wild trout on those streams because most people who fish those streams predominantly C&R. Surveys have already shown this. I don't really see much of a point in doing so, but wouldn't have a problem with it. But I would not support a statewide year round moratorium of trout harvesting on all unstocked streams. There is nothing wrong with anyone harvesting an occasional meal rather than buy mush trout from the grocery store.

I see no point in lowering the creel limit on stocked streams to a level lower than what what have now. All it would do is drive down license sales even more.

The rub is that the F&B refuses to have different creel limits on the two types of trout streams (approved and not approved) even though they already do have different creel limits for the rest of the year.