

Subject: : Paflyfish General Forum

Topic: : Reg's Question

Re: Reg's Question

Author: : pcray1231

Date: : 2013/7/2 9:17:36

URL:

Quote:

Yet another reason to get rid of FFO sections.

I'm not all out opposed to FFO. In general, I think it should be VERY lightly used. But it is lightly used, I'm cool with using it in the historically significant places that it is. Don't really think it's necessary to use in the name of conservation, though.

Overall, of the special regs, my favorite is AT C&R. Think that one can be justified as conservation without excluding anyone, and thus I'd give my blessing for the PFBC to use it liberally.

Above paradise was just a strange situation. It's PFBC owned water, under AT no-kill regs. Completely surrounded by state posted property (Rockview prison), except along the stream corridor, which was FFO. So by the letter of the law, you could not take anything not approved in FFO water to the PFBC owned AT water. Kind of defeats the purpose of having AT water if you ask me, why not just make it FFO up to the posted signs?

Eh, but that's in the past now. Spin and bait guys can access the area from the top without passing FFO water.