
Subject: : Conservation

Topic: : State Rep. White Accused Of Attacking Shale Supporters Online

Re: State Rep. White Accused Of Attacking Shale Supporters Online

Author: : FarmerDave

Date: : 2013/6/12 5:15:52

URL:

Quote:

JackM wrote:

Unless with a straight face you can claim there is no environmental risk, then you have no business grouping everyone who isn't "drill, baby, drill" about it as "antis" and then further claiming that this one state rep represents all "antis."

You are cracking me up. You do realize you have opened this up to fair game, don't you?

Unless with a straight you can claim that there is no environmental risk when you drive your car, then you have no business driving.

Very few overgeneralize on this sight more than you. Mr Pigeonhole himself. Sure you may not use such terrible prefixes 🤔 as anti, but you have always been quite generous with the use of labels using suffixes like ism or ist or bagger. This is getting quite entertaining.

What you did there was diversionary. you knew what he meant. Rather than talk about the points, you steered it onto a tangent.

I am not in the camp of either side (the antis or pros). However, I am a **realist**. EVerythign we do is eiter a result of mining or farming or both. There is virtually no activity completely without risk and since not doing anything is almost never an option... my philosophy would be proceed with caution. If a company or even an individual screws up, they should pay the price, not everyone else.