

Subject: : Gear Talk

Topic: : The "Troutiest" of rods?

Re: The "Troutiest" of rods?

Author: : DJBerg

Date: : 2013/6/11 21:46:18

URL:

this to me is a pretty good explanation of "modern" rod weight theory vs "true" AFMTA ratings. under lining rods so the "load" with 70" of line out giving you a longer cast sells rods at the shop...

<http://www.common-cents.info/>

but as for the troutiest i'd say call as many shops as you can, ask them that question, and when you get all that info let us know.

if i were to make a general assumption based on what i have learned i would say a 9' 5 or 6wt on "average" from brookies to sea run steelehead.

thats a almost impossible question to answer, that you have asked.

as for my personal input as to how i perceive your question, i really like my 8' 3wt m series paired with a rio trout 3wt wf line. yet that rod, actual weight to load the rod 1/3 of the rods length is actually a 2.5wt. while the line is 170gr(AFMTA 5wt) @ 43'...but at 30' where AFMTA calls the loading point it's 100gr on the nose.