

Subject: : Conservation

Topic: : Good news or nut case?

Re: Good news or nut case?

Author: : jdaddy

Date: : 2013/5/24 3:39:40

URL:

Quote:

pcray1231 wrote:

I didn't say I agreed with Greer. I called him a pseudo-scientific hack.

I merely said that, unlike free energy, cold fusion is something that is not contrary to the laws of physics. It's nuclear power, nothing more. Just a little bit of a different type of reaction than our fission reactors. Our sun runs on fusion power. And thus, so does the entire earth. All of our solar, wind, coal, gas, hydro, etc. That energy was supplied by fusion, just indirectly.

I do think that someday, it may be possible to harness fusion power, and it has some innate advantages over fission (which we already can handle). I don't put much/any faith in this guy accomplishing it, though.

Fusion is not free energy. Nor is fission. They are stored energy in the Earth. The raw materials are fuels just like coal and gas are fuels. Free energy (perpetual motion, or achieving "unity" without a fuel) is contrary to the laws of physics and impossible.

You are absolutely correct and it's not even a discussion.

Having said that, the US will always (well ~ 30 years or so) be afraid of nuclear power for completely irrational reasons. So let's fool these bitches, call it cold super funky fusion fission (tm) and have Justin Bieber sing the catch tune on all of the commercials, reduce electric generation rates by 25% and call it a day!