

Subject: : Conservation

Topic: : Good news or nut case?

Re: Good news or nut case?

Author: : pcray1231

Date: : 2013/5/21 10:19:26

URL:

I didn't say I agreed with Greer. I called him a pseudo-scientific hack.

I merely said that, unlike free energy, cold fusion is something that is not contrary to the laws of physics. It's nuclear power, nothing more. Just a little bit of a different type of reaction than our fission reactors. Our sun runs on fusion power. And thus, so does the entire earth. All of our solar, wind, coal, gas, hydro, etc. That energy was supplied by fusion, just indirectly.

I do think that someday, it may be possible to harness fusion power, and it has some innate advantages over fission (which we already can handle). I don't put much/any faith in this guy accomplishing it, though.

Fusion is not free energy. Nor is fission. They are stored energy in the Earth. The raw materials are fuels just like coal and gas are fuels. Free energy (perpetual motion, or achieving "unity" without a fuel) is contrary to the laws of physics and impossible.