

Subject: : Paflyfish General Forum

Topic: : Who do you think is in the wrong?

Re: Who do you think is in the wrong?

Author: : JackM

Date: : 2013/5/2 20:04:47

URL:

Far from clear still. Read the language that the Commission approves as alleged by wsender and Tony. They say that residency is proved when the license is issued. If it was issued legally (that is the person was a bona fide resident when issued), then please show me any law or regulation, or even an official statement that says the license expires upon change of residency.

Even your hyper-fonted language doesn't say that. It says, you can't be a resident of Tennessee when you APPLY for the license as a PA resident.

["Positive proof of legal residency, usually a valid PA drivers license, will be required by the issuing agent when purchasing a Resident, Senior Resident or Senior Resident Lifetime fishing license."]

It simply fails to address the situation where a person is legally licensed for 2013 and then changes his or her status of residency.

I may have missed something when looking into this, which is why I have asked for any one who wants to "take up the Commonwealth case."

So far the counter-argument being offered does not convince me. Still, cheaper to pay the fine. Then, if you feel frisky, write to Arway and tell him you were screwed. If you don't get a refund from him with an apology, then you were screwed.