

Subject: : Conservation

Topic: : Marcellus Well Site Spacing

Re: Marcellus Well Site Spacing

Author: : FarmerDave

Date: : 2013/4/15 15:45:45

URL:

Quote:

pccray1231 wrote:

Yeah, and that's probably Utica, i.e. even deeper than Marcellus, right?

I think the point is, Troutberts spacing number may very well be accurate, and they may very well be Marcellus, but they are probably vertical wells, not horizontal wells.

That was my take on it too, but you may have planted it in my head.

[/quote]

And Utica shale is one of the target plays here. Several others as well, all over 6000' and all under the M-shale. M shale is not as thick here. So far, nothing but vertical wells and there are already plenty of existing shallow wells (Clinton shale),

I probably agree with the rest of your latest too.