

---

Subject: : Paflyfish General Forum

Topic: : Who owns the trout?

Re: Who owns the trout?

Author: : LongWader

Date: : 2013/2/28 18:00:25

URL:

Hmmm, Environmentalism has kind turned this on it head on a federal level. The citizens of NC lost access to most of the beach in the recreational National Seashore Park due to 15 pairs of piping plovers because of a law suit by environmentalists and the fact that the current federal administration declined to fight the suits. That means that the current residents of the NC barrier islands, home owners, and fisherman don't have access to most of the beach for most of the year. Executive orders by this administration further state that freshwater lakes and rivers can be declared wildlife sancturaries so in that case even the common wealth and its citizens access and rights to resources maybe superceded by the Federal Government and environmental groups via lawsuits, liberal judges, or simply by executive order. If you want access then you will have to watch the video. Just ask the citizens of the barrier islands in NC that can not even walk on the beach in front of their beach houses. Because the NPS broke its pledge to those citizens to preserve access and not infringe on the way of life and their ability to make a living whether it involves fishing or tourism in order to further the political goals of this administration. This goals can be accomplished not just by creating sanctuaries to please environmentalists, but by passive means in simply declining to fight environmentalist law suits. The common wealth and it citizens would lose access to both the resource and its fish just like the folks on the barrier islands. All it would take is an executive order or a law suit by some enviromental group that determined that a certain lake, river system or something like a protected species found only in spring creeks needed protection then we will all loose access except for federal government biologist and enforcement folks which is basically the case on the barrier islands. A another example that is similar to the summer flounder or spiny dogfish would be to file a law suit declaring the eastern brook trout is endangered and or over harvested and sanctuary areas are needed to protect them like the white sea bass in California or draconian fishing regulations like with the flounder or the dogfish based on very poor or junk science created by the enviromentalists and uncontested by the fed. There are numerous ways to accomplish this and the environmental movement is just getting started. If the science and common sense does not get it done then the cite environmental justice which can mean just about anything they want it to mean. If you did any research on enviromental justice it would scare the day lights out of any sportsman. So to answer you question: The Federal Government owns everything if they deem it necessary. We just have access for now until some politically valuable constituency group says we should not have access. It can also be for our own safety due to global warming and rising seas which is the case at Chincoteague Island. We are simply too stupid to understand that there is a looming enviromental crisis so we need to be protected from ourselves.