

Subject: : Conservation

Topic: : Privatization of State parks?

Re: Privatization of State parks?

Author: : The\_Sasquatch

Date: : 2011/9/2 9:29:18

URL:

The government has a responsibility to protect our resources within reason. State and national parks are resources. Even as a "privatize it!" Libertarian, I see the need to keep these resources public.

Booze on the other hand....government has NO responsibility to own businesses, nor do I believe they have the right. Parks are a resource, booze is not. One protects the natural resources, within reason I might add, the other is just government injecting itself into the free market. Booze should be sold and managed on the free market just like any other good. The idea of the government owning retail stores is absolutely ridiculous to me.

Corbett's priorities are absolutely whack. I get it. There are a lot of people here who are tired of things like teacher's unions and their demands. They're tired of a tyrannical property tax that robs us of our money; forcing people, particularly people on fixed incomes who have already paid off their mortgages, to surrender their property because they can't afford to pay the tax on it. We get it; there are things that need to be fixed in the funding of our education system. His policies hurt the people on the front lines though; the students, the parents, and the teachers. You want to make massive cuts in the state budget to get it back on track? Fine. I agree, that's a good idea. Its a good idea to reform the funding of education in this state. Throw educators and students under the bus? Not so much of a great idea....