
Subject: : Conservation

Topic: : Al Gore wins Nobel Peace Prize

Re: Al Gore wins Nobel Peace Prize

Author: : troutbert

Date: : 2007/12/26 9:56:12

URL:

Quote:

tabasco_joe wrote:

Quote:

troutbert wrote:

Topic 1. Global Warming

Topic 2. Al Gore, whether you like him, don't like him, etc.

The two topics are essentially unrelated.

You have to decide, are you going to talk about climate. Or are you going to talk about Al Gore?

If the climate is actually undergoing significant changes, that would be true whether or not anyone named Al Gore ever existed.

The people who tried to turn the debate about Climate Change into a debate about Al Gore were completely aware of what they were doing, of course.

I'm not sure I understand your logic. AL Gore wins the Noble prize for feeding the public information about global warming that even the scientists that believe in global warning call extremely exaggerated. He is a primary partner in a carbon credit company that, according to financial analysts pockets about 85 cents on every dollar they receive. That leaves 15 cents for investment in renewable energy. He has profited to the tune of \$100 million dollars since 2001 from the cause. How is climate change and Al Gore unrelated?

OK, so let's agree that Al Gore is a dunce and a bad guy. That get's THAT out of the way.

Now, what are we going to do about Climate Change? If the climate really does change in the way many

climatologists are predicting, the trout populations here in PA are going to take a big hit. Warmer water temps would mean shrinkage of the "trout zone" in our stream systems. Brook trout's range would shrink even further up into the headwaters. And their range has already shrunk greatly from their original range.