

Subject: : Conservation

Topic: : Duke Univ. Marcellus Study

Re: Duke Univ. Marcellus Study

Author: : troutbert

Date: : 2011/5/12 10:05:40

URL:

Quote:

pcray1231 wrote:It is pretty much proof that in Dimock, the contamination is likely from drilling activity, which I think we all knew anyway.

Don't forget that only recently the industry was denying that their drilling caused the problems at Dimock.

Now it's "we already knew that."

The Duke study isn't likely to be perfect, or the last word because there will probably be a lot more studies in the near future. But I wouldn't be so quick to say that it is just a "political" document, as some have said.

Duke is a major research university, the paper is peer reviewed, and it was published by the National Academy of Sciences. It's not just someone typing on a blog.