

Subject: : Conservation

Topic: : Duke Univ. Marcellus Study

Re: Duke Univ. Marcellus Study

Author: : pcray1231

Date: : 2011/5/12 8:21:32

URL:

Thanks troutbert. It's still not the actual peer reviewed article I'm looking for, but its definitely better than the cnn article.

Franklin, if what you're saying is true, then no question its a biased study. I'm just tryin to verify. Do you have a source?

Gone4Day, thanks, good info as always. I do have a question. I am aware that the gas can be chemically traced to a formation, likely even to an area within a formation. But I'm not sure how specific they can get. For instance, if 2 wells are 3 miles apart and tapping the same shale formation, could you chemically determine which exact well the gas came from?

In any case, the whole situation becomes a circular argument. If gas in aquifers is the result of natural fissures, and gas companies target these fissures, then of course there's going to be more gas in aquifers near gas wells. They chose those areas to drill because there's more gas in aquifers. For any sort of conclusion, you have to have before and after tests from the same wells.