

---

Subject: : Conservation

Topic: : HomeWaters Club- different name, same agenda

Re: HomeWaters Club- different name, same agenda

Author: : TUNA

Date: : 2011/1/12 14:11:45

URL:

I thought about starting a business like HWC, then I realized that I have a conscience. Thanks for posting the sites jdaddy, ya beat me to it.

In all seriousness, this club is a joke. I having nothing against wealthy people, I just don't appreciate wealthy people thinking they are better than me and therefore needing to have private NAVIGABLE water posted and loaded with pigs. Even having private water designated for club memebers doesn't bother me, too much. What bothers me is the fact that this club tries to keep regular fishermen off of water that belongs to the fishermen in the first place. Small streams like Spruce Creek don't bother me, but trying to post waterways like the Little J for reasons that are complete nonsense does bother me. HWC cannot honestly say they are trying to preserve these waterways for the future. While they may preserve the "looks" of a waterway they sure as hell do not preserve the biology of these streams. HWC has their members convinced that we regular flyfishermen do nothing but harm their waterways, by overcrowding and overfishing, etc. I can admit sometimes I get mad when the Little J is lined with cars, but in the end it is better that more people know about this great stream. If we are "overfishing" why is the Little J close to becoming a Class A fishery? I could go on and on about what nonsense this club promotes, but I have to get back to work. Us free lunchers don't have it easy.