

Subject: : Conservation

Topic: : HomeWaters Club- different name, same agenda

Re: HomeWaters Club- different name, same agenda

Author: : pcray1231

Date: : 2011/1/7 13:29:43

URL:

Quote:

persons who utilize its properties so as to conclude that such members are somehow incapable of any fishing success outside of club waters.

I'm not going to claim they're all terrible fishermen. I've no idea and I'm sure there's a pretty solid mix of skill levels, like anyone else. What I'm saying is that being successful on private water proves nothing towards their overall skill level compared to the rest of the population, and they should make no claims as such. Likewise, supporting a club in no way makes one a conservationist, in fact its a strike against it, especially if the club in question is committed to privatizing more water.

But I hold no hate for clubs themselves, provided they're conducting their business legally and ethically. The SRC, in my view, conducts business illegally (posting of public water, stocking over class A populations) and unethically (using public steelhead to support private business, false advertising of "wild" fish, nutrient loading streams by throwing fish food in). Thats why they recieve more hate than other clubs.