Subject: : Paflyfish General Forum
Topic: : Do you consider it ethical to advertise small streams?
Re: Do you consider it ethical to advertise small streams?
Author: : jayL
Date: : 2010/9/23 19:31:46
URL:

Quote:

> troutbert wrote:
> The term "advertise" is non-neutral

I'd be willing to concede that. Then again, this is about posting reports, which are arguably stream advertisements. The goal of a report is often to enable or encourage others to go. That's advertising. Even poor reports are often designed to alert others to the presence of a stream.

Quote:

> "Broadcast" is also non-nuetral. A better term would be "discuss."

Disagree. The crux of the issue is broadcasting. A message board is a broadcast medium of discussion. "Discuss" would change the meaning to include non-broadcast media. The issue is not whether you discuss streams, but whether you broadcast the information. A post on a message board is broadcasting, whereas a discussion among a finite and relatively low number of people is what I feel is implied by "discuss".

I'd argue the opposite of your point, and say that "discuss" in this context is non-neutral.

I do concede that "broadcast" traditionally refers to audio or video. If you care to suggest a term that restricts the "discussion" to media that present the information to an unregulated number of people, I'd use that.

For what it's worth, books would be included in the family of broadcast discussion, so we can assume which stance you support. 😃

Quote:

> Your use of the term "right" is also non-nuetral.

I disagree, but I would be willing to debate this more than the last one. I was restating the question. Ethical ~= "right", unethical ~= "wrong".