

---

Subject: : Conservation

Topic: : Zoning Boards says No, judge says Yes

Re: Zoning Boards says No, judge says Yes

Author: : franklin

Date: : 2010/9/2 16:54:06

URL:

Quote:

RLeep2 wrote:

Here's something that makes you go hmhhh (made me go hmhhh, anyway). Let's say the state did "sell" the top foot of water from the 4 lakes and lets say it roughly equals a billion gallons.

Then let's say (just for calculation purposes) that the 3 sites inquired about on Big Pine would involve the withdrawal of 5 million gallons/day between them (likely conservative given the amount they want to take out of Big Fishing Creek and the comparative size of the streams).

OK...

Total the daily proposed withdrawals from the three streams at 8.5 million/gpd and assume this water would come from the lakes.

Do the math..

The lake withdrawal would replace the need for withdrawals from the 3 streams for 117 and 2/3 days of operations.

That's all it would do. A little less than 4 months. And this is based only on the 3 streams listed (God knows how many other withdrawals are in progress/proposed from streams throughout the region) as well as on the likely conservative hypothetical that they would only be asking for 5 million gpd from Pine.

That's spooky. And speaking only for myself, it really makes me go hmhhh.

This is rape. There has to be a better way.

I'm guessing we get rain sometime during the 117 days. I would use this to get by during periods of low flows. If we don't get rain to get the flows up and we use up x amount out of the lakes then as Jack says the drills slow down. Or they could then spend more and haul it in from further away. There are more than 4 lakes in Pa. I just chose a couple close ones.