

Subject: : Paflyfish General Forum

Topic: : The future of fly-fishing

Re: The future of fly-fishing

Author: : salvelinusfontinalis

Date: : 2010/2/15 10:58:14

URL:

pccray,

am not totally disagreeing with you. But taking the legal front would open a lot of streams not doubt. But sue the landowners? I maybe get that for a fishing club such as the SRC but what about private land owners with just posted land?

I would not want someone to lose their house and push their family onto the street in the name of ...fishing. Especially when they were doing a legal thing all these years.

The Tully is Nav. stream. The Union Canal is up in its headwaters. If someone pushed the issue the entire wild trout stretch i fish would be open to the public. That is a long stretch too the "fish hatchery" could not do a thing about it. HOWEVER, i caution in such actions. As it sits now there is open water that the wild trout migrate down to. Its an amazing little fishery but bait fisherman do fish it hard from the opposite bank. The fact the upper nursery waters are posted insure that legal size trout are in the stream at all times. One good rain and you have more fish in your section. This might be an exception as this stream is very fertile and has a ridiculous head count of trout. Either way, the fishing club restored the stream and protect the nursery waters, even if out of greed.

What we have now is a small short stretch of an excellent stream, on postedland that you must ask to get access.

Maybe that helps the trouts 😊

NOTE: That is just one example on one stream. Certainly i donnot feel this way about most streams.